



# Quantum Programming in Haskell

*with the Quantum IO Monad*

Alexander S. Green and Thorsten Altenkirch  
asg@cs.nott.ac.uk, txa@cs.nott.ac.uk

School of Computer Science,  
The University of Nottingham

# Introduction



- We would like to model Quantum Computations...

# Introduction



- We would like to model Quantum Computations...
- ... in a functional setting.



# Introduction

- We would like to model **Quantum** Computations...
- ... in a **functional** setting.
- The QIO Monad can be thought of as a register of **Qubits** that's controlled by a classical computer.



# Introduction

- We would like to model **Quantum** Computations...
- ... in a **functional** setting.
- The QIO Monad can be thought of as a register of **Qubits** that's controlled by a classical computer.
- It provides a framework for constructing quantum computations...



# Introduction

- We would like to model **Quantum** Computations...
- ... in a **functional** setting.
- The QIO Monad can be thought of as a register of **Qubits** that's controlled by a classical computer.
- It provides a framework for constructing quantum computations...
- ... and simulates the running of these computations.



# Haskell and Monads

- Haskell is a **pure** functional programming language, so any computations that may involve side effects make use of Monads.



# Haskell and Monads

- Haskell is a **pure** functional programming language, so any computations that may involve side effects make use of Monads.
- Monads are defined by a *return* function, and a bind function denoted (  $>>=$  )





# Haskell and Monads

- Haskell is a **pure** functional programming language, so any computations that may involve side effects make use of Monads.
- Monads are defined by a *return* function, and a bind function denoted  $( >>= )$

**class** *Monad* *m* **where**

- $( >>= ) :: m\ a \rightarrow (a \rightarrow m\ b) \rightarrow m\ b$   
*return*  $:: a \rightarrow m\ a$



# Haskell and Monads

- Haskell is a **pure** functional programming language, so any computations that may involve side effects make use of Monads.
- Monads are defined by a *return* function, and a bind function denoted (  $\gg=$  )

**class** *Monad* *m* **where**

- $(\gg=) :: m\ a \rightarrow (a \rightarrow m\ b) \rightarrow m\ b$   
 $return :: a \rightarrow m\ a$
- The *return* function lifts values of an underlying type into the Monad.



# Haskell and Monads

- Haskell is a **pure** functional programming language, so any computations that may involve side effects make use of Monads.
- Monads are defined by a *return* function, and a bind function denoted  $( >>= )$

**class** *Monad* *m* **where**

- $( >>= ) :: m\ a \rightarrow (a \rightarrow m\ b) \rightarrow m\ b$   
 $return :: a \rightarrow m\ a$
- The *return* function lifts values of an underlying type into the Monad.
- The  $>>=$  function lifts the application of the given function to a result already in the Monad.



# The Maybe Monad

- The `Maybe` Monad can be used for functions that are undefined on some inputs. (E.g. division by zero)



# The Maybe Monad

- The `Maybe` Monad can be used for functions that are undefined on some inputs. (E.g. division by zero)
- `data Maybe a = Just a | Nothing`



# The Maybe Monad

- The `Maybe` Monad can be used for functions that are undefined on some inputs. (E.g. division by zero)
- `data Maybe a = Just a | Nothing`
- `return x = Just x`



# The Maybe Monad

- The **Maybe** Monad can be used for functions that are undefined on some inputs. (E.g. division by zero)
- $\mathbf{data\ Maybe\ } a = \mathit{Just\ } a \mid \mathit{Nothing}$
- $\mathit{return\ } x = \mathit{Just\ } x$
- $(\mathit{Just\ } x) \gg= f = f\ x$
- $\mathit{Nothing} \gg= f = \mathit{Nothing}$



# The Maybe Monad

- The **Maybe** Monad can be used for functions that are undefined on some inputs. (E.g. division by zero)
- $\text{data Maybe } a = \text{Just } a \mid \text{Nothing}$
- $\text{return } x = \text{Just } x$
- $(\text{Just } x) \gg= f = f \ x$
- $\text{Nothing} \gg= f = \text{Nothing}$
- The **bind** function allows for an undefined result to propagate through the rest of the computation.





# 'do' notation

- Haskell provides the `do` notation to make monadic programming easier.



# 'do' notation

- Haskell provides the `do` notation to make monadic programming easier.
- IO in Haskell takes place in the IO Monad.



# 'do' notation

- Haskell provides the `do` notation to make monadic programming easier.
- IO in Haskell takes place in the IO Monad.
- For example, echoing a character to the screen

*getChar :: IO Char*

*putChar :: Char → IO ()*



# 'do' notation

- Haskell provides the `do` notation to make monadic programming easier.
- IO in Haskell takes place in the IO Monad.
- For example, echoing a character to the screen

*getChar :: IO Char*

*putChar :: Char → IO ()*

*echo :: IO ()*

*echo = getChar >>= (\c → putChar c) >> echo*



# 'do' notation

- Haskell provides the `do` notation to make monadic programming easier.
- IO in Haskell takes place in the IO Monad.
- For example, echoing a character to the screen

*getChar :: IO Char*

*putChar :: Char → IO ()*

*echo :: IO ()*

- *echo = getChar >>= (λc → putChar c) >> echo*

- or in `do` notation



# 'do' notation

- Haskell provides the `do` notation to make monadic programming easier.
- IO in Haskell takes place in the IO Monad.
- For example, echoing a character to the screen

*getChar :: IO Char*

*putChar :: Char → IO ()*

*echo :: IO ()*

- *echo = getChar >>= (λc → putChar c) >> echo*

- or in `do` notation

*echo = do c ← getChar*

- *putChar c*

*echo*



# The QIO Monad

- The QIO Monad has been designed so that Quantum computations can be defined within Haskell.



# The QIO Monad

- The `QIO Monad` has been designed so that Quantum computations can be defined within Haskell.
- The `do` notation provided by Haskell can be used to help this.





# The QIO Monad

- The **QIO Monad** has been designed so that Quantum computations can be defined within Haskell.
- The **do** notation provided by Haskell can be used to help this.

$|0\rangle :: \text{QIO Qbit}$

- $|0\rangle = \text{do } qb \leftarrow \text{mkQbit False}$   
 $\text{return } x$



# The QIO Monad

- The **QIO Monad** has been designed so that Quantum computations can be defined within Haskell.
- The **do** notation provided by Haskell can be used to help this.

$|0\rangle :: \text{QIO Qbit}$

- $|0\rangle = \mathbf{do} \text{ } qb \leftarrow \text{mkQbit False}$   
 $\text{return } x$

$|1\rangle :: \text{QIO Qbit}$

- $|1\rangle = \mathbf{do} \text{ } qb \leftarrow \text{mkQbit True}$   
 $\text{return } x$



# QIO Examples

- Creating the state  $|+\rangle$

$|+\rangle :: \text{QIO Qbit}$

$|+\rangle = \mathbf{do} \text{ } qb \leftarrow |0\rangle$

$\text{applyU (uhad } qb)$

$\text{return } qb$



# QIO Examples

- Creating the state  $|+\rangle$

$|+\rangle :: QIO\ Qbit$

$|+\rangle = \mathbf{do}\ qb \leftarrow |0\rangle$

$\quad\quad\quad applyU\ (uhad\ qb)$

$\quad\quad\quad return\ qb$

- Creating a bell state

$share :: Qbit \rightarrow QIO\ Qbit$

$share\ qa = \mathbf{do}\ qb \leftarrow |0\rangle$

$\quad\quad\quad applyU\ (cond\ qa\ (\lambda a \rightarrow \mathbf{if}\ a\ \mathbf{then}\ (unot\ qb)$

$\quad\quad\quad\quad\quad \mathbf{else}\ (\bullet)))$

$\quad\quad\quad return\ qb$

$bell :: QIO\ (Qbit,\ Qbit)$

$bell = \mathbf{do}\ qa \leftarrow |+\rangle$

$\quad\quad\quad qb \leftarrow share\ qa$

$\quad\quad\quad return\ (qa,\ qb)$



# Deutsch's Algorithm

- $u :: (Bool \rightarrow Bool) \rightarrow Qbit \rightarrow Qbit \rightarrow U$   
 $u f x y = cond x (\lambda b \rightarrow \mathbf{if} f b \mathbf{then} unot y \mathbf{else} \bullet)$



# Deutsch's Algorithm

- $u :: (Bool \rightarrow Bool) \rightarrow Qbit \rightarrow Qbit \rightarrow U$   
 $u\ f\ x\ y = \text{cond } x\ (\lambda b \rightarrow \text{if } f\ b\ \text{then } \text{unot } y\ \text{else } \bullet)$   
  
 $\text{deutsch} :: (Bool \rightarrow Bool) \rightarrow QIO\ Bool$   
 $\text{deutsch } f = \text{do } x \leftarrow |+\rangle$   
 $\quad y \leftarrow |-\rangle$   
 $\quad \text{applyU } (u\ f\ x\ y)$   
 $\quad \text{applyU } (\text{uhad } x)$   
 $\quad b \leftarrow \text{measQ } x$   
 $\quad \text{return } b$

# QIO Design



- The design allows unitaries to be defined outside of the monadic structure...

# QIO Design



- The design allows unitaries to be defined outside of the monadic structure...
- ... the  $U$  data-type defines the available unitaries.





# QIO Design

- The design allows unitaries to be defined outside of the monadic structure...
- ... the  $U$  data-type defines the available unitaries.
- The position of two qubits can be swapped.

$swap :: Qbit \rightarrow Qbit \rightarrow U$



# QIO Design

- The design allows unitaries to be defined outside of the monadic structure...
- ... the  $U$  data-type defines the available unitaries.
- The position of two qubits can be swapped.
- A conditional unitary, depending on the value of the given qubit, can be constructed.

$$\text{swap} :: \text{Qbit} \rightarrow \text{Qbit} \rightarrow U$$

$$\text{cond} :: \text{Qbit} \rightarrow (\text{Bool} \rightarrow U) \rightarrow U$$



# QIO Design

- The design allows unitaries to be defined outside of the monadic structure...
- ... the  $U$  data-type defines the available unitaries.
- The position of two qubits can be swapped.

$$\text{swap} :: \text{Qbit} \rightarrow \text{Qbit} \rightarrow U$$

- A conditional unitary, depending on the value of the given qubit, can be constructed.

$$\text{cond} :: \text{Qbit} \rightarrow (\text{Bool} \rightarrow U) \rightarrow U$$

- Qubits can be temporarily introduced into a unitary.

$$\text{ulet} :: \text{Bool} \rightarrow (\text{Qbit} \rightarrow U) \rightarrow U$$



# QIO Design.

- Single qubit rotations can be applied...  
 $rot :: Qbit \rightarrow Rotation \rightarrow U$



# QIO Design.

- Single qubit rotations can be applied...  
 $rot :: Qbit \rightarrow Rotation \rightarrow U$
- **type**  $Rotation = ((Bool, Bool) \rightarrow \mathbb{C})$



# QIO Design.

- Single qubit rotations can be applied...

$rot :: Qbit \rightarrow Rotation \rightarrow U$

- **type**  $Rotation = ((Bool, Bool) \rightarrow \mathbb{C})$

- Some common rotations are defined...

$rnot :: Rotation$

$rnot (x, y) = \text{if } x \equiv y \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } 1$

$rhad :: Rotation$

$rhad (x, y) = \text{if } x \wedge y \text{ then } -h \text{ else } h \text{ where } h = (1 / \text{sqrt } 2)$

$rphase :: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow Rotation$

$rphase \_ (False, False) = 1$

$rphase r (True, True) = \text{exp } (0 : + r)$

$rphase \_ (-, -) = 0$



# QIO Design...

- The  $U$  data-type also forms a Monoid



# QIO Design...

- The  $U$  data-type also forms a Monoid
- There's an identity element denoted  $\bullet$





# QIO Design...

- The  $U$  data-type also forms a Monoid
- There's an identity element denoted  $\bullet$
- ... and an append operation denoted  $\triangleright$ .



# QIO Design...

- The  $U$  data-type also forms a Monoid
- There's an identity element denoted  $\bullet$
- ... and an append operation denoted  $\triangleright$ .
- We can also define a reverse function  $urev :: U \rightarrow U$  that returns the inverse of the given unitary.



# QIO Design...

- The  $U$  data-type also forms a Monoid
- There's an identity element denoted  $\bullet$
- ... and an append operation denoted  $\triangleright$ .
- We can also define a reverse function  $urev :: U \rightarrow U$  that returns the inverse of the given unitary.
- The choice of available unitaries has been adapted as we have implemented more quantum algorithms.



# QIO Design...

- The  $U$  data-type also forms a Monoid
- There's an identity element denoted  $\bullet$
- ... and an append operation denoted  $\triangleright$ .
- We can also define a reverse function  $urev :: U \rightarrow U$  that returns the inverse of the given unitary.
- The choice of available unitaries has been adapted as we have implemented more quantum algorithms.
- However, there are side-conditions that need to be imposed to ensure that all the members of  $U$  are actually unitary.



# Side Conditions

- As it stands, conditionals can be created that aren't unitary.



# Side Conditions

- As it stands, conditionals can be created that aren't unitary.

$notUnitary :: U$

- $notUnitary = cond\ x\ (\lambda x \rightarrow \mathbf{if\ } x\ \mathbf{then\ } unot\ x\ \mathbf{else\ } \bullet)$



# Side Conditions

- As it stands, conditionals can be created that aren't unitary.

*notUnitary* :: *U*

- $notUnitary = cond\ x\ (\lambda x \rightarrow \mathbf{if\ } x\ \mathbf{then\ } unot\ x\ \mathbf{else\ } \bullet)$
- The given function always leaves the qubit  $x$  in the state  $|0\rangle$ .



# Side Conditions

- As it stands, conditionals can be created that aren't unitary.

*notUnitary* :: *U*

- $notUnitary = cond\ x\ (\lambda x \rightarrow \mathbf{if\ } x\ \mathbf{then\ } unot\ x\ \mathbf{else\ } \bullet)$
- The given function always leaves the qubit  $x$  in the state  $|0\rangle$ .
- A side condition for conditionals must be introduced, that the branches of the conditional must not reference the control qubit.





# Side Conditions

- As it stands, conditionals can be created that aren't unitary.

*notUnitary* :: *U*

- $notUnitary = cond\ x\ (\lambda x \rightarrow \mathbf{if\ } x\ \mathbf{then\ } unot\ x\ \mathbf{else\ } \bullet)$
- The given function always leaves the qubit  $x$  in the state  $|0\rangle$ .
- A side condition for conditionals must be introduced, that the branches of the conditional must not reference the control qubit.
- Trying to run the *notUnitary* function will result in a run-time error.



# Side Conditions.

- The *ulet* constructor could easily give rise to non-unitary behaviour...



# Side Conditions.

- The *ulet* constructor could easily give rise to non-unitary behaviour...
- e.g. the temporary qubit could be left entangled with the rest of the state.



# Side Conditions.

- The *ulet* constructor could easily give rise to non-unitary behaviour...
- e.g. the temporary qubit could be left entangled with the rest of the state.
- The side-condition imposed for *ulet* is that the temporary qubit must be returned to its original state.



# Side Conditions.

- The *ulet* constructor could easily give rise to non-unitary behaviour...
- e.g. the temporary qubit could be left entangled with the rest of the state.
- The side-condition imposed for *ulet* is that the temporary qubit must be returned to its original state.
- It would also be possible to create a non-unitary single qubit rotation.



# Side Conditions.

- The *ulet* constructor could easily give rise to non-unitary behaviour...
- e.g. the temporary qubit could be left entangled with the rest of the state.
- The side-condition imposed for *ulet* is that the temporary qubit must be returned to its original state.
- It would also be possible to create a non-unitary single qubit rotation.
- The side-condition for rotations is that they must be unitary!



# Side Conditions.

- The *ulet* constructor could easily give rise to non-unitary behaviour...
- e.g. the temporary qubit could be left entangled with the rest of the state.
- The side-condition imposed for *ulet* is that the temporary qubit must be returned to its original state.
- It would also be possible to create a non-unitary single qubit rotation.
- The side-condition for rotations is that they must be unitary!
- Again, in both cases, failure to comply will result in a run-time error.



# The Monadic constructors

- The **Monadic** constructors allow the system to deal with the side-effects to the state arising from measurements





# The Monadic constructors

- The **Monadic** constructors allow the system to deal with the side-effects to the state arising from measurements
- Qubits can be initialised, from a Boolean value.

*mkQbit :: Bool → QIO Qbit*



# The Monadic constructors

- The **Monadic** constructors allow the system to deal with the side-effects to the state arising from measurements

- Qubits can be initialised, from a Boolean value.

$$mkQbit :: Bool \rightarrow QIO \ Qbit$$

- Unitaries can be applied to the current state.

$$applyU :: U \rightarrow QIO \ ()$$



# The Monadic constructors

- The **Monadic** constructors allow the system to deal with the side-effects to the state arising from measurements
- Qubits can be initialised, from a Boolean value.  
 $mkQbit :: Bool \rightarrow QIO\ Qbit$
- Unitaries can be applied to the current state.  
 $applyU :: U \rightarrow QIO\ ()$
- Qubits can be measured, returning a Boolean value.  
 $measQbit :: Qbit \rightarrow QIO\ Bool$

# Teleportation



```
alice :: Qbit → Qbit → QIO (Bool, Bool)
alice aq eq = do applyU (cond aq (λa →
                                if a then (unot eq)
                                else (•)))
                 applyU (uhad aq)
                 cd ← measQ (aq, eq)
                 return cd
```



# Teleportation.

$bobsU :: (Bool, Bool) \rightarrow Qbit \rightarrow U$

$bobsU (False, False) eq = \bullet$

$bobsU (False, True) eq = (unot eq)$

$bobsU (True, False) eq = (uZZ eq)$

$bobsU (True, True) eq = ((unot eq)$   
 $\triangleright (uZZ eq))$

$bob :: Qbit \rightarrow (Bool, Bool) \rightarrow QIO Qbit$

$bob eq cd = \mathbf{do}$   $applyU (bobsU cd eq)$   
 $return eq$

# Teleportation..



```
teleportation :: Qbit → QIO Qbit
teleportation iq = do (eq1, eq2) ← bell
                      cd ← alice iq eq1
                      tq ← bob eq2 cd
                      return tq
```

# Running QIO Computations



- We provide three evaluation functions for (classically) simulating the running of our QIO computations.



# Running QIO Computations

- We provide three evaluation functions for (classically) simulating the running of our QIO computations.
- $runQ$  returns a single probabilistic result.
  - $>runQ (deutsch \neg)$   
 $True$
  - $>runQ (deutsch (\lambda x \rightarrow True))$   
 $False$





# Running QIO Computations

- We provide three evaluation functions for (classically) simulating the running of our QIO computations.
- *runQ* returns a single probabilistic result.
  - > *runQ* (*deutsch*  $\neg$ )  
*True*
  - > *runQ* (*deutsch* ( $\lambda x \rightarrow$  *True*))  
*False*
- *simQ* returns a probability distribution of the possible results.
  - > *simQ* (*deutsch*  $\neg$ )  
[(*True*, 1.0)]
  - > *simQ* (*meas\_bell*)  
[((*True*, *True*), 0.5), ((*False*, *False*), 0.5)]

# Running QIO Computations.



- There is also the *runC* function which efficiently simulates computations that only use the classical subset of  $U$ .  
> *runC* (*deutsch*  $\neg$ )  
\*\*\* Exception: not classical



# Running QIO Computations.

- There is also the *runC* function which efficiently simulates computations that only use the classical subset of  $U$ .  
`>runC (deutsch ↯)`  
\*\*\* Exception: not classical
- The *runC* function is useful for testing our reversible arithmetic functions

# Reversible Arithmetic



- One of our goals was to implement Shor's algorithm.



# Reversible Arithmetic

- One of our goals was to implement Shor's algorithm.
- The period finding sub-routine requires a function that computes modular exponentiation.



# Reversible Arithmetic

- One of our goals was to implement Shor's algorithm.
- The period finding sub-routine requires a function that computes modular exponentiation.
- We have created a set of quantum arithmetic functions following the design of the circuits in [Vedral, Barenco, Ekert. 1996].



# Reversible Arithmetic

- One of our goals was to implement Shor's algorithm.
- The period finding sub-routine requires a function that computes modular exponentiation.
- We have created a set of quantum arithmetic functions following the design of the circuits in [Vedral, Barenco, Ekert. 1996].
- To implement these functions we decided that it would be useful to be able to define quantum data-types, built up from qubits, and related with a classical counter-part



# Reversible Arithmetic

- One of our goals was to implement Shor's algorithm.
- The period finding sub-routine requires a function that computes modular exponentiation.
- We have created a set of quantum arithmetic functions following the design of the circuits in [Vedral, Barenco, Ekert. 1996].
- To implement these functions we decided that it would be useful to be able to define quantum data-types, built up from qubits, and related with a classical counter-part
- This lead to the definition of a **class** of quantum data-types.



# Qdata



- The *Qdata* class defines functions that a pair of corresponding classical and quantum data-types must fulfill, within the QIO setting.

# Qdata



- The *Qdata* class defines functions that a pair of corresponding classical and quantum data-types must fulfill, within the QIO setting.

**class** *Qdata* *a qa* | *a*  $\rightarrow$  *qa*, *qa*  $\rightarrow$  *a* **where**

*mkQ* :: *a*  $\rightarrow$  *QIO* *qa*

- *measQ* :: *qa*  $\rightarrow$  *QIO* *a*

*letU* :: *a*  $\rightarrow$  (*qa*  $\rightarrow$  *U*)  $\rightarrow$  *U*

*condQ* :: *qa*  $\rightarrow$  (*a*  $\rightarrow$  *U*)  $\rightarrow$  *U*

# Qdata



- Booleans and Qubits form the simplest instance of the *Qdata* class.

# Qdata



- Booleans and Qubits form the simplest instance of the *Qdata* class.

**instance** *Qdata Bool Qbit* **where**

*mkQ = mkQbit*

- *measQ = measQbit*

*letU b xu = ulet b xu*

*condQ q br = cond q br*

# Qdata



- Booleans and Qubits form the simplest instance of the *Qdata* class.

**instance** *Qdata Bool Qbit* **where**

*mkQ = mkQbit*

- *measQ = measQbit*

*letU b xu = ulet b xu*

*condQ q br = cond q br*

- We have also implemented a quantum data-type *QInt* related to the (positive instances of) the Haskell *Int* type.



# Reversible Arithmetic ...

- The circuits in [Vedral, Barenco, Ekert. 1996] make extensive use of auxiliary qubits...



# Reversible Arithmetic ...

- The circuits in [Vedral, Barenco, Ekert. 1996] make extensive use of auxilliary qubits...
- ... which we can handle nicely using the *ulet* constructor.



# Reversible Arithmetic ...

- The circuits in [Vedral, Barenco, Ekert. 1996] make extensive use of auxilliary qubits...
- ... which we can handle nicely using the *ulet* constructor.

$qadd :: QInt \rightarrow QInt \rightarrow Qbit \rightarrow U$

$qadd (QInt\ gas) (QInt\ qbs) qc =$

$ulet\ False\ (qadd'\ gas\ qbs)$

**where**  $qadd'\ []\ []\ qc = ifQ\ qc\ (unot\ qc')$

- $qadd'\ (qa : gas)\ (qb : qbs)\ qc =$

$ulet\ False\ (\lambda qc' \rightarrow carry\ qc\ qa\ qb\ qc' \triangleright$

$aadd'\ gas\ qbs\ qc' \triangleright$

$urev\ (carry\ qc\ qa\ qb\ qc')) \triangleright$

$sumq\ qc\ qa\ qb$





# Reversible Arithmetic ...

- The circuits in [Vedral, Barenco, Ekert. 1996] make extensive use of auxilliary qubits...
- ... which we can handle nicely using the *ulet* constructor.

$qadd :: QInt \rightarrow QInt \rightarrow Qbit \rightarrow U$

$qadd (QInt\ gas) (QInt\ qbs) qc =$

$ulet\ False\ (qadd'\ gas\ qbs)$

**where**  $qadd'\ []\ []\ qc = ifQ\ qc\ (unot\ qc')$

- $qadd'\ (qa : gas)\ (qb : qbs)\ qc =$

$ulet\ False\ (\lambda qc' \rightarrow carry\ qc\ qa\ qb\ qc' \triangleright$

$aadd'\ gas\ qbs\ qc' \triangleright$

$urev\ (carry\ qc\ qa\ qb\ qc')) \triangleright$

$sumq\ qc\ qa\ qb$

- The required modular exponentiation function (*modExp*) follows nicely.

# Quantum Fourier transform



The University of  
**Nottingham**

- Shor's algorithm also required the inverse QFT.

# Quantum Fourier transform



- Shor's algorithm also required the inverse QFT.
- The structure of the QFT leads to a nice functional representation using an accumulator function, recursively defined over the input register.



# Quantum Fourier transform

- Shor’s algorithm also required the inverse QFT.
- The structure of the QFT leads to a nice functional representation using an accumulator function, recursively defined over the input register.

$qft :: [Qbit] \rightarrow U$

$qft\ qs = condQ\ qs\ (\lambda bs \rightarrow qftAcu\ qs\ bs\ [])$

$qftAcu :: [Qbit] \rightarrow [Bool] \rightarrow [Bool] \rightarrow U$

$qftAcu\ []\ []\ - = \bullet$

- $qftAcu\ (q : qs)\ (b : bs)\ cs = qftBase\ cs\ q \triangleright qftAcu\ qs\ bs\ (b : cs)$

$qftBase :: [Bool] \rightarrow Qbit \rightarrow U$

$qftBase\ bs\ q = f'\ bs\ q\ 2$

**where**  $f'\ []\ q\ - = uhad\ q$

$f'\ (b : bs)\ q\ x = \mathbf{if}\ b\ \mathbf{then}\ (rotK\ x\ q) \triangleright f'\ bs\ q\ (x + 1)$

**else**  $f'\ bs\ q\ (x + 1)$



# Shor's Algorithm

- The period finding sub-routine of Shor's algorithm can now be given.



# Shor's Algorithm

- The period finding sub-routine of Shor's algorithm can now be given.

$hadamards :: QInt \rightarrow U$

$hadamards (QInt []) = \bullet$

$hadamards (QInt (x : xs)) = uhad\ x \triangleright hadamards (QInt\ xs)$

$shorU :: QInt \rightarrow QInt \rightarrow QInt \rightarrow Int \rightarrow U$

$shorU\ i0\ i1\ x\ n = hadamards\ i0 \triangleright$

$\quad condQ\ i0\ (\lambda a \rightarrow modExp\ n\ a\ x\ i1) \triangleright$

$\quad urev\ (qft\ i0)$

$shor :: Int \rightarrow Int \rightarrow QIO\ Int$

$shor\ x\ n = \mathbf{do}\ ((i0, i1), qx) \leftarrow mkQ\ ((0, 1), x)$

$\quad applyU\ (shorU\ i0\ i1\ qx\ n)$

$\quad p \leftarrow measQ\ i0$

$\quad return\ p$



# Dependent Types

- The fact that our side-conditions can be checked at run-time follows from the fact that we're classically simulating quantum computations.



# Dependent Types

- The fact that our side-conditions can be checked at run-time follows from the fact that we're classically simulating quantum computations.
- Dependent Types give us types that can depend on data...





# Dependent Types

- The fact that our side-conditions can be checked at run-time follows from the fact that we're classically simulating quantum computations.
- Dependent Types give us types that can depend on data...
- ... the data that they depend on could be a proof of some property about the type.



# Dependent Types

- The fact that our side-conditions can be checked at run-time follows from the fact that we're classically simulating quantum computations.
- Dependent Types give us types that can depend on data...
- ... the data that they depend on could be a proof of some property about the type.
- With dependent types, we could embed proofs that the unitaries satisfy the imposed side-conditions.



# Dependent Types

- The fact that our side-conditions can be checked at run-time follows from the fact that we're classically simulating quantum computations.
- Dependent Types give us types that can depend on data...
- ... the data that they depend on could be a proof of some property about the type.
- With dependent types, we could embed proofs that the unitaries satisfy the imposed side-conditions.
- These proofs are checked at compile time by the type checker...



# Dependent Types

- The fact that our side-conditions can be checked at run-time follows from the fact that we're classically simulating quantum computations.
- Dependent Types give us types that can depend on data...
- ... the data that they depend on could be a proof of some property about the type.
- With dependent types, we could embed proofs that the unitaries satisfy the imposed side-conditions.
- These proofs are checked at compile time by the type checker...
- leading to a more “sound” implementation.



# Conclusions

- A **Dependently typed** version of QIO could give a sound basis for reasoning about quantum computations.



# Conclusions

- A *Dependently typed* version of QIO could give a sound basis for reasoning about quantum computations.
- ... so we would like to implement this.



# Conclusions

- A *Dependently typed* version of QIO could give a sound basis for reasoning about quantum computations.
- ... so we would like to implement this.
- We are also planning at looking to extend **QIO** as a full language.



# Conclusions

- A `Dependently typed` version of QIO could give a sound basis for reasoning about quantum computations.
- ... so we would like to implement this.
- We are also planning at looking to extend `QIO` as a full language.
- We are also looking for more examples like the `Qdata` class, where ideas in functional program can be used nicely in the quantum setting.



# Finally...



The University of  
**Nottingham**

- We are presenting a paper on the Quantum IO Monad at TFP 2008 (Trends in Functional Programming).  
Soon to be available on-line:  
<http://www.cs.nott.ac.uk/~asg/research.html>

# Finally...



- We are presenting a paper on the Quantum IO Monad at TFP 2008 (Trends in Functional Programming).  
Soon to be available on-line:  
<http://www.cs.nott.ac.uk/~asg/research.html>
- The code from the implementation is also available on-line:  
<http://www.cs.nott.ac.uk/~asg/QIO/>